

[Click Here](#)



Library, NLM provides access to scientific literature. Inclusion in an NLM database does not imply endorsement of, or agreement with, the contents by NLM or the National Institutes of Health. Learn more: PMC Disclaimer | PMC Copyright Notice. 2022 Aug 11;16:893275. doi: 10.3389/fmsys.2022.893275. Exercise fatigue is a common physiological phenomenon in human activities. The occurrence of exercise fatigue can reduce human power output and exercise performance, and increased the risk of sports injuries. As physiological signals that are closely related to human activities, surface electromyography (sEMG) signals have been widely used in exercise fatigue assessment. Great advances have been made in the measurement and interpretation of electromyographic signals recorded on surfaces. It is a practical way to assess exercise fatigue with the use of electromyographic features. With the development of machine learning, the application of sEMG signals in human evaluation has been developed. In this article, we focused on sEMG signal processing, feature extraction, and classification in exercise fatigue. sEMG based multi-source information fusion for exercise fatigue was also introduced. Finally, the development trend of exercise fatigue detection is prospected. **Keywords:** exercise fatigue, sEMG, machine learning, feature extraction, classification. **Exercise fatigue** is a physiological phenomenon that originates from human activities and results in decreased physical performance (Nijs et al., 2011). The main manifestation of exercise fatigue was defined as the failure to maintain the force output, leading to a reduced performance (Assmusen, 1993). Muscle strength declines after fatigue (Kim et al., 2018; Meng et al., 2019; Na et al., 2020; Fundaro et al., 2021; Sato et al., 2021), and occupation (Alberto et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2021; Ji and Huang, 2021; Yu et al., 2021; Gonzalez-Zamora et al., 2022). Physiological signals, biochemical assessments, and questionnaires are used to monitor exercise fatigue (Hanson and Shona, 2014). Questionnaires are subjective. Invasive biochemical tests cause discomfort to the subjects. Noninvasive physiological testing with scientific and statistical approaches can provide confidence and certainty. Muscle fatigue is divided into central and peripheral components. Peripheral fatigue is caused by changes in the neuromuscular junction. Central fatigue originates in the central nervous system (CNS). The production of skeletal muscle force depends on contractile mechanisms, and failure of nervous, inn, vascular, and energy systems can contribute to the development of muscle fatigue (Wan et al., 2017). During sustained contractions, metabolic changes in the muscle affect the propagation of action potentials along the sarcolemma. These changes result in a progressive reduction of muscle fiber conduction velocity (CV). This is one of the main causes of the changes in amplitude and spectral EMG variables during fatigue. This physiological variable provides a relevant means to describe and quantify the muscle fatigue (Marco et al., 2017). Exercise fatigue can be detected through surface electromyography (sEMG) (Chang et al., 2012). Constant exercise load results in the rise of EMG activity during fatigue (Chliff et al., 2018). Electrical currents generated by muscle contractions can be monitored in the form of EMG signals and displayed on a computer (Barszap et al., 2016). Bioelectricity is detectable when muscle contracts (Tang et al., 2020). Electromyographic techniques are used in sports and rehabilitation accepted by researchers (Gonzalez-Zamora et al., 2022; Kozłowski et al., 2020; Quintman et al., 2020). EMG can be recorded by needles inserted into the muscle (Kwon et al., 2018) or electrodes placed over the skin surface (Zeng et al., 2021). sEMG signals are used to detect muscle fatigue (Khan et al., 2021). sEMG is a biological electrical signal generated by muscle contraction that can be harvested by electrodes. The sEMG signals are the most intuitive physiological signals of muscle activity and the best means to detect muscle fatigue. The sEMG signal is a kind of pseudorandom signal with a physiological signal that is very weak. The voltage of the sEMG signal range from 50 V to 100 mV and the frequency is varied from 10 to 500 Hz (Pancholi and Joshi, 2018). The electrode skin impedance which is one of the noises that affects the quality of EMG signals must be as low as possible to obtain effective signals (Sae-Lim et al., 2019). Pancholi and Joshi (2018) obtained sEMG signals from five different arm muscles using hardware based on ADS1298 IC (Texas Instruments) and ARM cortex M4 series processor with 4,000 Hz sampling frequency. De la Pena et al. (2019) recorded sEMG-related muscle fatigue in sports training using a portable prototype with a 5,000 Hz sampling frequency. Zhao et al. (2020) acquired sEMG data based on a software platform for visualizing sEMG information on muscle fatigue during upper limb rehabilitation training. In Makaram et al. (2021), Biopac MP 36 (Biopac Systems Inc. CA, United States) was used to acquire brachii muscle of 52 healthy participants sEMG during dynamic contractions at an acquisition rate of 10,000 Hz. Wang L. et al. (2021) used wearable sampling electrodes that the sampling frequency is 200 Hz to collect sEMG signals in real-time during the driving tasks. Chen et al. (2021b) used the MP160 physiological record analysis system produced by the American company BIOPAC to analyze the fatigue of miners. Exact electrode positioning is vital for obtaining reliable EMG signals. A study showed high correlations between all electrode sites and clavicular movements. The traditional electrode site record more informative signals in subjects (Zanca et al., 2014). All trunk muscles were affected by electrode position changes, but the abdominal muscles were more affected. Electrode placement on the trunk muscles was found to be more sensitive to electrode position changes than the lower limb muscles (Zanca et al., 2014). sEMG signal is usually regarded as a random signal whose mean value is zero and variance varies with signal intensity. As the calculation of time-domain features is simple and intuitive, it is a widely used feature extraction method of sEMG signal in human movement (Harmon et al., 2021). The typical time-domain features of sEMG signals mainly include the root mean square (RMS) (Cui et al., 2021), integrated EMG (IEMG) (Alam et al., 2020), root-crossing rate (ZCR) (Kim et al., 2018), waveform length (WL), variance of electromyography (VAR) (Whittaker et al., 2019), and mean absolute value (MAV) (Chapman et al., 2019). With the occurrence of muscle fatigue, the time domain features of sEMG generally show an upward trend over time (Goubault et al., 2022). RMS and IEMG not only reflect the amplitude changes of the sEMG signal in the time domain but also clearly reflect the biomechanical properties and muscle energy changes in the exercise process (Silvetti et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Therefore, RMS and IEMG are often used to indicate muscle activation intensity and human motion state (Triwiyanto et al., 2018). The frequency-domain features are spectrum or power spectrum (PS) features that are obtained by fast Fourier transform (FFT) to the original sEMG signals, thus the frequency band distribution of the signals can be observed directly (Chandra et al., 2020). Researchers believe that frequency domain analysis is more meaningful than time domain analysis in both static and dynamic motion. To quantitatively describe the spectrum and PS features of sEMG signals, the mean frequency (MF) (Hou et al., 2021) and median frequency (MDF) (Park and Park, 2021) are generally used that decrease linearly over time. The decrease in median frequency and MF represents the frequency of measured muscle activity and function. In practical application, MF is more effective than MF in reflecting muscle activity and function. MF can also get good results in reflecting muscle activity and function (Zhang et al., 2021). Significant changes in the frequency domain features of sEMG signal are usually regarded as a random signal whose mean value is zero and variance varies with signal intensity. As the calculation of time-domain features is simple and intuitive, it is a widely used feature extraction method of sEMG signal in human movement (Harmon et al., 2021). The typical time-domain features of sEMG signals mainly include the root mean square (RMS) (Cui et al., 2021), integrated EMG (IEMG) (Alam et al., 2020), root-crossing rate (ZCR) (Kim et al., 2018), waveform length (WL), variance of electromyography (VAR) (Whittaker et al., 2019), and mean absolute value (MAV) (Chapman et al., 2019). With the occurrence of muscle fatigue, the time domain features of sEMG generally show an upward trend over time (Goubault et al., 2022). RMS and IEMG not only reflect the amplitude changes of the sEMG signal in the time domain but also clearly reflect the biomechanical properties and muscle energy changes in the exercise process (Silvetti et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Therefore, RMS and IEMG are often used to indicate muscle activation intensity and human motion state (Triwiyanto et al., 2018). The frequency-domain features are spectrum or power spectrum (PS) features that are obtained by fast Fourier transform (FFT) to the original sEMG signals, thus the frequency band distribution of the signals can be observed directly (Chandra et al., 2020). Researchers believe that frequency domain analysis is more meaningful than time domain analysis in both static and dynamic motion. To quantitatively describe the spectrum and PS features of sEMG signals, the mean frequency (MF) (Hou et al., 2021) and median frequency (MDF) (Park and Park, 2021) are generally used that decrease linearly over time. The decrease in median frequency and MF represents the frequency of measured muscle activity and function. In practical application, MF is more effective than MF in reflecting muscle activity and function. MF can also get good results in reflecting muscle activity and function (Zhang et al., 2021). Significant changes in the frequency domain features of sEMG signal are usually regarded as a random signal whose mean value is zero and variance varies with signal intensity. As the calculation of time-domain features is simple and intuitive, it is a widely used feature extraction method of sEMG signal in human movement (Harmon et al., 2021). The typical time-domain features of sEMG signals mainly include the root mean square (RMS) (Cui et al., 2021), integrated EMG (IEMG) (Alam et al., 2020), root-crossing rate (ZCR) (Kim et al., 2018), waveform length (WL), variance of electromyography (VAR) (Whittaker et al., 2019), and mean absolute value (MAV) (Chapman et al., 2019). With the occurrence of muscle fatigue, the time domain features of sEMG generally show an upward trend over time (Goubault et al., 2022). RMS and IEMG not only reflect the amplitude changes of the sEMG signal in the time domain but also clearly reflect the biomechanical properties and muscle energy changes in the exercise process (Silvetti et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Therefore, RMS and IEMG are often used to indicate muscle activation intensity and human motion state (Triwiyanto et al., 2018). The frequency-domain features are spectrum or power spectrum (PS) features that are obtained by fast Fourier transform (FFT) to the original sEMG signals, thus the frequency band distribution of the signals can be observed directly (Chandra et al., 2020). Researchers believe that frequency domain analysis is more meaningful than time domain analysis in both static and dynamic motion. To quantitatively describe the spectrum and PS features of sEMG signals, the mean frequency (MF) (Hou et al., 2021) and median frequency (MDF) (Park and Park, 2021) are generally used that decrease linearly over time. The decrease in median frequency and MF represents the frequency of measured muscle activity and function. In practical application, MF is more effective than MF in reflecting muscle activity and function. MF can also get good results in reflecting muscle activity and function (Zhang et al., 2021). Significant changes in the frequency domain features of sEMG signal are usually regarded as a random signal whose mean value is zero and variance varies with signal intensity. As the calculation of time-domain features is simple and intuitive, it is a widely used feature extraction method of sEMG signal in human movement (Harmon et al., 2021). The typical time-domain features of sEMG signals mainly include the root mean square (RMS) (Cui et al., 2021), integrated EMG (IEMG) (Alam et al., 2020), root-crossing rate (ZCR) (Kim et al., 2018), waveform length (WL), variance of electromyography (VAR) (Whittaker et al., 2019), and mean absolute value (MAV) (Chapman et al., 2019). With the occurrence of muscle fatigue, the time domain features of sEMG generally show an upward trend over time (Goubault et al., 2022). RMS and IEMG not only reflect the amplitude changes of the sEMG signal in the time domain but also clearly reflect the biomechanical properties and muscle energy changes in the exercise process (Silvetti et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Therefore, RMS and IEMG are often used to indicate muscle activation intensity and human motion state (Triwiyanto et al., 2018). The frequency-domain features are spectrum or power spectrum (PS) features that are obtained by fast Fourier transform (FFT) to the original sEMG signals, thus the frequency band distribution of the signals can be observed directly (Chandra et al., 2020). Researchers believe that frequency domain analysis is more meaningful than time domain analysis in both static and dynamic motion. To quantitatively describe the spectrum and PS features of sEMG signals, the mean frequency (MF) (Hou et al., 2021) and median frequency (MDF) (Park and Park, 2021) are generally used that decrease linearly over time. The decrease in median frequency and MF represents the frequency of measured muscle activity and function. In practical application, MF is more effective than MF in reflecting muscle activity and function. MF can also get good results in reflecting muscle activity and function (Zhang et al., 2021). Significant changes in the frequency domain features of sEMG signal are usually regarded as a random signal whose mean value is zero and variance varies with signal intensity. As the calculation of time-domain features is simple and intuitive, it is a widely used feature extraction method of sEMG signal in human movement (Harmon et al., 2021). The typical time-domain features of sEMG signals mainly include the root mean square (RMS) (Cui et al., 2021), integrated EMG (IEMG) (Alam et al., 2020), root-crossing rate (ZCR) (Kim et al., 2018), waveform length (WL), variance of electromyography (VAR) (Whittaker et al., 2019), and mean absolute value (MAV) (Chapman et al., 2019). With the occurrence of muscle fatigue, the time domain features of sEMG generally show an upward trend over time (Goubault et al., 2022). RMS and IEMG not only reflect the amplitude changes of the sEMG signal in the time domain but also clearly reflect the biomechanical properties and muscle energy changes in the exercise process (Silvetti et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017). Therefore, RMS and IEMG are often used to indicate muscle activation intensity and human motion state (Triwiyanto et al., 2018). The frequency-domain features are spectrum or power spectrum (PS) features that are obtained by fast Fourier transform (FFT) to the original sEMG signals, thus the frequency band distribution of the signals can be observed directly (Chandra et al., 2020). Researchers believe that frequency domain analysis is more meaningful than time domain analysis in both static and dynamic motion. To quantitatively describe the spectrum and PS features of sEMG signals, the mean frequency (MF) (Hou et al., 2021) and median frequency (MDF) (Park and Park, 2021) are generally used that decrease linearly over time. The decrease in median frequency and MF represents the frequency of measured muscle activity and function. In practical application, MF is more effective than MF in reflecting muscle activity and function. MF can also get good results in reflecting muscle activity and function (Zhang et al., 2021). Significant changes in the frequency domain features of sEMG signal are usually regarded as a random signal whose mean value is zero and variance varies with signal intensity. As the calculation of time-domain features is simple and intuitive, it is a widely used feature extraction method of sEMG signal in human movement (Harmon et al., 2021). The typical time-domain features of sEMG signals mainly include the root mean square (RMS) (Cui et al

Emg during fatigue. Can an emg make you tired. Emg too early. Will emg.