


Continue































Take a look at these 35 sample Band 9 IELTS essays for writing task 2 of the IELTS exam. Task 2 can cover a wide range of essay topics for the IELTS writing task section of the test, so preparation is key. Use the following samples when preparing your IELTS essays to see how close you are to a band 9! These IELTS band 9 essay samples will help you highlight your mistakes and improve your writing band 9 level. See the below IELTS essay writing sample questions and answers to practice for your IELTS writing task 2. You will find the IELTS essay questions and answers categorised by the following essay types. Take a look at some of our writing tasks to help you prepare for your IELTS exam, and if you need more help, we have a course that is guaranteed to help you pass IELTS. Practicing IELTS writing task 2 essays is very important for your exam preparation. Click here for a FREE ebook of our top 10 IELTS band 9 samples for writing task 2 in PDF. For more preparation, take a look at our latest tutorials: For a FREE ebook of our top 10 sample essays, click here! Enhance Your Essays with Our Efficient Online IELTS Essay Checker Practicing for IELTS Writing Task 2? You're in the right place. But after you practice, how can you know if your essay is good? We have a tool to help! It's called the online IELTS essay checker. You can find it here. This tool is very easy to use. You write your essay, and our tool checks it. It tells you what mistakes you made and how to fix them. This means you can learn and get better faster. The best part? You save money. Many students pay a lot for IELTS classes or teachers to check their essays. But our online IELTS essay checker is cheaper and works fast. You don't have to wait! So, after you read the sample essays on this page, use our online IELTS essay checker. It can help you see where you can do better. And it's a good way to get ready for the IELTS exam without spending a lot of money. So, if you want to write better essays and save money, try our online IELTS essay checker. We made it for students like you. We hope it helps you get the score you want. TRY IT NOW Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) How to score band 9 in IELTS writing? You can score band 9 in IELTS writing by following these steps: Make sure you understand the question and answer what is being asked. Plan out your essay before you start writing Write your essay, review it and then 'rewrite' it. Get feedback on your essays and find out where you may be losing points. Improve your language skills. Read this post for more tips. How do you write a 9 band essay? Practice each essay type and be clear about the criteria for a perfect score. Basically, you need clear formal paragraphing, an essay that 'flows' logically and stays focused on the question, which is answered fully with high-level vocabulary and near-perfect grammar. How can I get 9 in IELTS writing? Look at model answers and memorize phrases that work for most essays - 'In the modern world' is a great way to start. A focus on global issues and international examples rounds out your answer and practice timed essays before the test. Can you get 10 in IELTS? No, the top band score is a 9. Be realistic though, some of the best universities in the world require a band 7 or 7.5 for their most challenging courses so a perfect score isn't necessary in most situations. Details Last Updated: Sunday, 02 March 2025 17:05 Written by IELTS Mentor Hits: 10651 You should spend about 40 minutes on this task. Write about the following topic: Some consumers are increasingly choosing to buy goods that are produced in their local area, rather than imported goods. What are the reasons for this? Is this a positive or a negative trend? Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience. Write at least 250 words. Model Answer 1: [View: This is a positive trend] In recent years, there has been a growing preference among consumers to purchase locally produced goods instead of imported ones. This shift can be attributed to several factors, including support for the local economy and better product quality. This essay argues that this is a positive trend due to its advantages for local economies, sustainability, and consumer well-being. One of the primary reasons for this shift is the support for local businesses and economies. Purchasing locally produced goods helps small-scale manufacturers and farmers thrive, thereby creating employment opportunities and stimulating economic growth. For instance, in many European countries, government initiatives encourage citizens to buy locally sourced food to boost agricultural industries and support rural livelihoods. Another key reason for this trend is the growing concern for product quality and freshness. Many consumers believe that local products, especially food, are healthier and less processed than their imported counterparts. Fresh dairy, fruits, and vegetables, for instance, sourced from nearby farms are perceived to be more nutritious and free from harmful preservatives. This is a positive trend because it is advantageous for local economies, sustainability, and consumer well-being. By prioritizing locally made products, consumers contribute directly to job creation and economic stability within their communities, ensuring that small businesses and artisans continue to thrive. Additionally, reducing reliance on imported goods minimizes transportation-related pollution, leading to a lower carbon footprint and a more sustainable way of living. From a consumer perspective, locally sourced goods often provide superior freshness, better quality control, and greater transparency regarding ethical and environmental standards. In conclusion, the preference for locally produced goods is a positive trend for many reasons as it boosts local economies and provides consumers with high-quality products. Encouraging this shift can lead to long-term benefits for societies and the planet as a whole. Model Answer 2: [View: This is a negative trend] Many consumers, these days, prefer locally produced goods over imported ones. In my opinion, this trend has been fueled due to the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces carbon footprint. This essay argues that relying solely on locally produced goods is a negative trend as it hampers international trade, restricts access to superior products, and can cause inflation in local markets. This trend has been fueled by the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces the carbon footprint. Many consumers prefer locally produced goods because they want to support small businesses, farmers, and artisans within their communities. When people buy from local producers, they contribute to job creation and ensure that money circulates within the local economy rather than being directed to multinational corporations. Additionally, consumers are increasingly aware of the environmental impact of imported goods, particularly regarding carbon emissions from transportation. Imported products often require long-distance shipping via planes, ships, or trucks, all of which contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. By choosing local products, consumers can help reduce the energy used in transportation, packaging, and storage, leading to a lower carbon footprint. However, one of the biggest disadvantages of prioritizing local goods is the negative impact on international trade. A balanced economy thrives on global exchange, and discouraging imports can lead to strained relationships between countries. For instance, protectionist policies in some countries have led to trade disputes, affecting both local businesses and foreign investors. Another concern is the limited availability of certain products. Not all regions can produce every type of good, and relying solely on local production may restrict access to a diverse range of items. For example, many electronics, luxury fashion items, and high-end automobiles are produced in specific countries renowned for their expertise in those industries. Furthermore, buying only local products can lead to higher costs for consumers. Local manufacturers may have higher production costs due to limited economies of scale, making their products more expensive than mass-produced imported goods. This can reduce affordability, particularly for lower-income individuals. In conclusion, while buying local goods has certain advantages, it is a negative trend overall due to its impact on international trade, restricted product diversity, and potential inflation. A balanced approach that includes both local and imported goods is preferable for economic stability and consumer well-being. Idea Generation for this IELTS Essay: Essay Question: Some consumers are increasingly choosing to buy goods that are produced in their local area, rather than imported goods. What are the reasons for this? Is this a positive or a negative trend? Essay Type: Two-part Question/Double Question Essay. The main questions of this IELTS Essay: A) What are the reasons for this trend? B) Is this trend a positive or a negative one? To support local economy and job creation: Buying locally helps small businesses grow and creates employment opportunities within the community. It strengthens the local economy, ensuring financial stability and economic growth. For instance, many European countries encourage citizens to buy locally sourced food to boost agricultural industries and support rural livelihoods. Another key reason for this trend is the growing concern for product quality and freshness. Many consumers believe that local products, especially food, are healthier and less processed than their imported counterparts. Fresh dairy, fruits, and vegetables, for instance, sourced from nearby farms are perceived to be more nutritious and free from harmful preservatives. This is a positive trend because it is advantageous for local economies, sustainability, and consumer well-being. By prioritizing locally made products, consumers contribute directly to job creation and economic stability within their communities, ensuring that small businesses and artisans continue to thrive. Additionally, reducing reliance on imported goods minimizes transportation-related pollution, leading to a lower carbon footprint and a more sustainable way of living. From a consumer perspective, locally sourced goods often provide superior freshness, better quality control, and greater transparency regarding ethical and environmental standards. In conclusion, the preference for locally produced goods is a positive trend for many reasons as it boosts local economies and provides consumers with high-quality products. Encouraging this shift can lead to long-term benefits for societies and the planet as a whole. Model Answer 2: [View: This is a negative trend] Many consumers, these days, prefer locally produced goods over imported ones. In my opinion, this trend has been fueled due to the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces carbon footprint. This essay argues that relying solely on locally produced goods is a negative trend as it hampers international trade, restricts access to superior products, and can cause inflation in local markets. This trend has been fueled by the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces the carbon footprint. Many consumers prefer locally produced goods because they want to support small businesses, farmers, and artisans within their communities. When people buy from local producers, they contribute to job creation and ensure that money circulates within the local economy rather than being directed to multinational corporations. Additionally, consumers are increasingly aware of the environmental impact of imported goods, particularly regarding carbon emissions from transportation. Imported products often require long-distance shipping via planes, ships, or trucks, all of which contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. By choosing local products, consumers can help reduce the energy used in transportation, packaging, and storage, leading to a lower carbon footprint. However, one of the biggest disadvantages of prioritizing local goods is the negative impact on international trade. A balanced economy thrives on global exchange, and discouraging imports can lead to strained relationships between countries. For instance, protectionist policies in some countries have led to trade disputes, affecting both local businesses and foreign investors. Another concern is the limited availability of certain products. Not all regions can produce every type of good, and relying solely on local production may restrict access to a diverse range of items. For example, many electronics, luxury fashion items, and high-end automobiles are produced in specific countries renowned for their expertise in those industries. Furthermore, buying only local products can lead to higher costs for consumers. Local manufacturers may have higher production costs due to limited economies of scale, making their products more expensive than mass-produced imported goods. This can reduce affordability, particularly for lower-income individuals. In conclusion, while buying local goods has certain advantages, it is a negative trend overall due to its impact on international trade, restricted product diversity, and potential inflation. A balanced approach that includes both local and imported goods is preferable for economic stability and consumer well-being. Idea Generation for this IELTS Essay: Essay Question: Some consumers are increasingly choosing to buy goods that are produced in their local area, rather than imported goods. What are the reasons for this? Is this a positive or a negative trend? Essay Type: Two-part Question/Double Question Essay. The main questions of this IELTS Essay: A) What are the reasons for this trend? B) Is this trend a positive or a negative one? To support local economy and job creation: Buying locally helps small businesses grow and creates employment opportunities within the community. It strengthens the local economy, ensuring financial stability and economic growth. For instance, many European countries encourage citizens to buy locally sourced food to boost agricultural industries and support rural livelihoods. Another key reason for this trend is the growing concern for product quality and freshness. Many consumers believe that local products, especially food, are healthier and less processed than their imported counterparts. Fresh dairy, fruits, and vegetables, for instance, sourced from nearby farms are perceived to be more nutritious and free from harmful preservatives. This is a positive trend because it is advantageous for local economies, sustainability, and consumer well-being. By prioritizing locally made products, consumers contribute directly to job creation and economic stability within their communities, ensuring that small businesses and artisans continue to thrive. Additionally, reducing reliance on imported goods minimizes transportation-related pollution, leading to a lower carbon footprint and a more sustainable way of living. From a consumer perspective, locally sourced goods often provide superior freshness, better quality control, and greater transparency regarding ethical and environmental standards. In conclusion, the preference for locally produced goods is a positive trend for many reasons as it boosts local economies and provides consumers with high-quality products. Encouraging this shift can lead to long-term benefits for societies and the planet as a whole. Model Answer 2: [View: This is a negative trend] Many consumers, these days, prefer locally produced goods over imported ones. In my opinion, this trend has been fueled due to the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces carbon footprint. This essay argues that relying solely on locally produced goods is a negative trend as it hampers international trade, restricts access to superior products, and can cause inflation in local markets. This trend has been fueled by the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces the carbon footprint. Many consumers prefer locally produced goods because they want to support small businesses, farmers, and artisans within their communities. When people buy from local producers, they contribute to job creation and ensure that money circulates within the local economy rather than being directed to multinational corporations. Additionally, consumers are increasingly aware of the environmental impact of imported goods, particularly regarding carbon emissions from transportation. Imported products often require long-distance shipping via planes, ships, or trucks, all of which contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. By choosing local products, consumers can help reduce the energy used in transportation, packaging, and storage, leading to a lower carbon footprint. However, one of the biggest disadvantages of prioritizing local goods is the negative impact on international trade. A balanced economy thrives on global exchange, and discouraging imports can lead to strained relationships between countries. For instance, protectionist policies in some countries have led to trade disputes, affecting both local businesses and foreign investors. Another concern is the limited availability of certain products. Not all regions can produce every type of good, and relying solely on local production may restrict access to a diverse range of items. For example, many electronics, luxury fashion items, and high-end automobiles are produced in specific countries renowned for their expertise in those industries. Furthermore, buying only local products can lead to higher costs for consumers. Local manufacturers may have higher production costs due to limited economies of scale, making their products more expensive than mass-produced imported goods. This can reduce affordability, particularly for lower-income individuals. In conclusion, while buying local goods has certain advantages, it is a negative trend overall due to its impact on international trade, restricted product diversity, and potential inflation. A balanced approach that includes both local and imported goods is preferable for economic stability and consumer well-being. Idea Generation for this IELTS Essay: Essay Question: Some consumers are increasingly choosing to buy goods that are produced in their local area, rather than imported goods. What are the reasons for this? Is this a positive or a negative trend? Essay Type: Two-part Question/Double Question Essay. The main questions of this IELTS Essay: A) What are the reasons for this trend? B) Is this trend a positive or a negative one? To support local economy and job creation: Buying locally helps small businesses grow and creates employment opportunities within the community. It strengthens the local economy, ensuring financial stability and economic growth. For instance, many European countries encourage citizens to buy locally sourced food to boost agricultural industries and support rural livelihoods. Another key reason for this trend is the growing concern for product quality and freshness. Many consumers believe that local products, especially food, are healthier and less processed than their imported counterparts. Fresh dairy, fruits, and vegetables, for instance, sourced from nearby farms are perceived to be more nutritious and free from harmful preservatives. This is a positive trend because it is advantageous for local economies, sustainability, and consumer well-being. By prioritizing locally made products, consumers contribute directly to job creation and economic stability within their communities, ensuring that small businesses and artisans continue to thrive. Additionally, reducing reliance on imported goods minimizes transportation-related pollution, leading to a lower carbon footprint and a more sustainable way of living. From a consumer perspective, locally sourced goods often provide superior freshness, better quality control, and greater transparency regarding ethical and environmental standards. In conclusion, the preference for locally produced goods is a positive trend for many reasons as it boosts local economies and provides consumers with high-quality products. Encouraging this shift can lead to long-term benefits for societies and the planet as a whole. Model Answer 2: [View: This is a negative trend] Many consumers, these days, prefer locally produced goods over imported ones. In my opinion, this trend has been fueled due to the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces carbon footprint. This essay argues that relying solely on locally produced goods is a negative trend as it hampers international trade, restricts access to superior products, and can cause inflation in local markets. This trend has been fueled by the belief that it helps the local economy and reduces the carbon footprint. Many consumers prefer locally produced goods because they want to support small businesses, farmers, and artisans within their communities. When people buy from local producers, they contribute to job creation and ensure that money circulates within the local economy rather than being directed to multinational corporations. Additionally, consumers are increasingly aware of the environmental impact of imported goods, particularly regarding carbon emissions from transportation. Imported products often require long-distance shipping via planes, ships, or trucks, all of which contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions. By choosing local products, consumers can help reduce the energy used in transportation, packaging, and storage, leading to a lower carbon footprint. However, one of the biggest disadvantages of prioritizing local goods is the negative impact on international trade. A balanced economy thrives on global exchange, and discouraging imports can lead to strained relationships between countries. For instance, protectionist policies in some countries have led to trade disputes, affecting both local businesses and foreign investors. Another concern is the limited availability of certain products. Not all regions can produce every type of good, and relying solely on local production may restrict access to a diverse range of items. For example, many electronics, luxury fashion items, and high-end automobiles are produced in specific countries renowned for their expertise in those industries. Furthermore, buying only local products can lead to higher costs for consumers. Local manufacturers may have higher production costs due to limited economies of scale, making their products more expensive than



[illegible]



to want more new things about the world in a way that is easy to comprehend.Details of politicians' private lives should not be published in newspapers. To what extent do you agree or disagree?Details of politicians' private lives should not be published in the media. This essay completely agrees with this statement because keeping the private lives of politicians away from the public media allows them to remain honest and also helps protect their families from being exposed to the public eye. However, it does have some disadvantages as well. It prevents people from knowing the truth about politicians and their actions, which can lead to corruption and abuse of power. Therefore, making this information time in their life open to the public is dangerous to their mental health. For instance, in Nigeria, in order to maintain a sound mind, politicians are often forced to keep their private lives so close to themselves without being pressured during their private time. In certain ways,Protection from privacy is harmful because there is another reason why states of lives of politicians should not be made public. Due to the high rate of insecurity in some countries, activities of politicians which are not for the service of the people should not be disclosed. This is because these individuals have opponents who are ready to harm them when given an opportunity therefore giving out information about their private lives is an easy way to expose them to danger. For instance, in Nigeria a governor's house was burnt and it was discovered that the criminals who did this got his home address from social media.In conclusion, the details of politicians' private life should be kept away from the media because it benefits their mental health and helps to secure them from danger.Nowadays the way many people interact with each other has changed because of technology. In what ways has technology affected the types of relationships people make? Is this a positive or negative development?Because of technology, many men and women today interact with each other in new ways. This essay will suggest that people have more regular contact, and that the interaction has changed from physical to digital due to technology. I believe this is a negative development because humans need physical contact as part of their interaction to stay healthy.Technology has made it possible for people to have more regular contact with each other through social media. This is because smartphones have applications, like Facebook and WhatsApp, which are designed to make it easy to talk, write messages and send pictures to other people.As a result of this, the interaction between people has become much easier and faster than before. People now can communicate with each other anytime and anywhere, even if they are far apart. This means that people can stay connected and share their experiences with others more easily than ever before. However, while technology has brought people closer together, it has also led to a decrease in face-to-face interactions. Many people now spend more time looking at their screens than talking to each other in person, which creates an environment where people can interact in a more complex way. This is because all senses can be used, making it possible to touch, smell and hear things that would be impossible through an application. For example, during the Corona-pandemic, many people work from home and Swedish doctors have noticed an increase in the number of patients with mental illness due to the lack of physical contact with friends and colleagues.In conclusion, people's interactions have changed because of technology and the relationships nowadays are more regular but less physical. I believe this is a negative development because humans need physical meetings to feel good.Some people prefer to live in a house, while others feel that there are more advantages to living in an apartment. Are there more advantages than disadvantages of living in a house because houses are bigger in size.Living in a house is less cost-effective in comparison to living in an apartment. This is because houses are usually bigger in size and offer more privacy to its inhabitants, as a result, the cost of owning or renting and maintaining a house is usually higher than for an apartment. For example, in Nigeria, people who live in houses spend on average three times more money than those who live in apartments because of the higher cost of mortgages and maintenance, such as large bills, involved in living in houses. However, I believe that with appropriate planning and financial discipline, this extra expense can easily be paid off. An advantage of living in a house is that houses are more spacious. Houses are usually larger than apartments, providing more space for children's playroom, as well as an outdoor garden area. This is an important factor to consider, especially for large families who require playgrounds and gardens for their children. Another advantage is that houses provide a sense of security and privacy. Unlike apartments, houses have no shared walls or common areas, which means residents can enjoy their own space without feeling crowded. There are also several disadvantages of residing in a house than in an apartment.In conclusion, even though it costs more to live in houses than in apartments, I believe that there are more benefits than drawbacks to living in a house because houses are more accommodating.Today people are travelling more than ever before. Why is this the case? What are the benefits of travelling for the traveller?At present, travelling is more popular than it was in the past. This essay will discuss that this is because nowadays flying is cheaper and that the benefits of travelling are learning about new cultures and experiencing new adventures. People are travelling more than ever before because flying has become more economic. This is because now there are many low-cost airline companies that offer cheap flight tickets to visit several countries, and this did not exist two decades ago. As a result, more people have the opportunity to travel to new places without spending a huge amount of money, while in the past flying was only affordable for rich people. For example, Ryanair is a low-cost company that provides extremely cheap flight tickets to visit countries around Europe, sometimes for the cost of 10 euros. One benefit of travelling is that people can learn about other countries' culture. That is to say, when people visit a new nation, they go to local shops, eat typical food and visit museums where they can learn about the history of that country. Another advantage that travelling has is that travellers can live new adventures. This is because people who travel often choose to do activities that they cannot do in their own country. For example, is very common for travellers that visit South Africa to do a safari in Kruger, one of the biggest national parks in the world. In addition, many people enjoy visiting historical sites and landmarks that are not available in their home countries. Travelling also offers a chance to meet new people and experience different lifestyles. When people travel, they often encounter people from different backgrounds and cultures, which helps broaden their horizons and fosters understanding between nations. Furthermore, travelling provides a unique opportunity to explore new cuisines, traditions, and customs, enriching one's cultural knowledge. The staff which must be worn at all times. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of this.Some companies require their employees to wear uniforms at all times. The advantages of this are, it helps promote the company and helps customers distinguish the roles of staffs. However, employees may find it difficult to wear uniforms at all times and most company do not provide enough sets of uniforms.Having staff wear uniforms at all times helps distinguish a company. It promotes a company's identity to help customers differentiate it from other entities. Another benefit is that companies can better classify their services by the type or color of uniforms they wear which helps improve the customer experience. For example, in my hospital workplace, all patients are able to better distinguish which is a nurse or a doctor, because all nurses are only required to wear a blue scrub suit, meanwhile all doctors wear maroon scrub suits.On the other hand, employees may find it uncomfortable to wear a uniform. Some uniforms are uncomfortable and poorly fitted so that it adds to an employee's unhappiness. Another disadvantage is that most companies do not provide enough uniforms for their employees. It becomes a financial burden for the employee because he may need to purchase a new set of uniform. For example, my brother who works twelve hours a day and six days a week, paid two thousand pesos to a local tailor just to make him three sets of custom fit uniforms because his employer only gave him two sets.In conclusion, having a staff to wear uniforms at all times is a great way to promote a company and helps their customers distinguish their employees. On the other hand, employees may find it distracting to wear uniforms and companies may pass up other marketing expenses by forcing their staff to wear uniforms. Companies should not put too much emphasis on uniforms, but rather focus on providing quality products and services to their customers. The details of politicians' private lives in newspapers. Readers who get interested in stories of politicians will read further on things that are related to the politicians, and this leads them to become more interests in politics. For example, the former US President Donald Trump appeared in newspapers several time during his presidency, and the stories covered his relationship with the First Lady. Some readers found these stories interesting and they started following policy that Trump proposed to make, and later on demonstrations of support were held by them. Therefore, I totally disagree with the statement that newspapers should not issue the stories of politicians' private lives.In conclusion, I completely disagree that stories of politicians' private lives should not be printed because it is in the public interests, and some readers become more interested in politics after reading the stories.In many countries, the government prioritises economic growth above all other concerns. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of this.Economic growth is prioritized above all other concerns by the state, in many nations. The advantages of this are, improved quality of life of people and good infrastructure. The disadvantages of prioritizing economic growth above all other concerns are unaffordable housing and environmental damage. Economic growth is the process of increasing the production of goods and services in an economy over time. It is a key indicator of a country's prosperity and is often pursued by governments through various policies and strategies. While economic growth can bring numerous benefits, it also has potential drawbacks. Advantages include increased employment opportunities, rising standards of living, and improved infrastructure. Disadvantages include environmental degradation, income inequality, and resource depletion. The impact of economic growth varies significantly across different regions and countries, depending on factors such as governance, social structures, and natural resources. Another advantage is developing good infrastructure. When a government prioritizes economic growth, they would build a good infrastructure to attract both domestic and foreign investments. So infrastructure in a nation is usually developed when economic growth is prioritized. For example, in India many highways and an international airport is built in the National Capital Region which attracted thousands of companies to establish a branch in that region.One of the main disadvantages of prioritizing economic growth is unaffordable cost of living. That is to say, with economic growth, prices of consumer products and real estate increases rapidly making it difficult for low-income families to afford the cost of living. Another disadvantage is more environmental damage. This is because, to develop the industries and to get maximum profits, nations tend to use the most accessible and locally available sources of energy. This leads to more and more use of fossil fuels and thus causing more environmental damage. For example, coal is widely used in China to supply energy to its industries because it is cheap and can be mined within the country. In conclusion, the advantages of the prioritizing economic growth above all other concerns are improved quality of life of people and good infrastructure. The disadvantages are unaffordable cost of living and more environmental damage.In some countries, it is becoming increasingly common for people to follow a vegetarian diet. Do the advantages of this outweigh the disadvantages?In many places around the world, people are choosing to follow a vegetarian diet. The disadvantages are that meat related businesses are being badly impacted and it causes protein deficiency in people. The advantages are that fewer animals are being butchered and it protects people from meat related diseases. This essay argues that the advantages outweigh the disadvantages.On the one hand, meat related businesses are badly impacted. When people follow a vegetarian diet, it decreases the demand of meat, which forces the businesses to shut down or discontinue their operations. For example, in the United States, many teenagers buy Gucci products in order to wear them and be like their idols. I think it is a positive thing because it shows that teenagers are trying to be like their idols, but it is also a concern because it encourages materialism and peer pressure. If everyone followed a vegetarian diet, it could lead to a significant loss of revenue for the fashion industry, particularly for luxury brands like Gucci. Additionally, the loss of jobs in the meat processing industry could have a negative impact on the economy. On the other hand, following a vegetarian diet has several benefits. Firstly, it is healthier for the body. Vegetarian diets are generally lower in saturated fats and cholesterol, which can reduce the risk of heart disease and other chronic conditions. Secondly, it is more ethical. Many people believe that animals have the right to live and should not be treated as mere commodities for food. By choosing a vegetarian diet, individuals can avoid supporting the animal slaughter industry. Finally, a vegetarian diet is often associated with a longer lifespan and better overall health outcomes. In conclusion, while there are concerns about the impact on the meat industry and the economy, the benefits of a vegetarian diet, including improved health, ethical considerations, and potential longevity, outweigh the disadvantages.

The primary reason for their lethargy. However, this essay believes that people can fulfil their daily protein needs from vegetables if they consume more nutritious vegetables everyday. On the other hand, lesser number of animals are being killed. When people decide not to consume meat, it plunges the demand, which results in lesser number of animals killed. Another advantage is that vegetarian people are less prone to the meat related diseases. A vegetarian diet prevents people from any meat related virus going inside the body and develop any sickness. For example, in Sudan, people don't consume meat and the country has the lowest number of people with medical conditions. In my opinion, a vegetarian diet should be preferred because it prevents a person from many diseases in the long run. In conclusion, while vegetarian diet is not good for meat related businesses and people tend to develop protein deficiency, lesser number of animals are being killed and prevents people from meat related diseases. This essay believes that advantages outweigh the disadvantages.Most high-level positions in companies are filled by men even though the workforce in many developed countries is more than 50 per cent female. Companies should be required to allocate a certain percentage of these positions to women. To what extent do you agree?The majority of the chief positions in business organizations are occupied by males, despite the fact that more than half of the workforce in numerous developed nations is made up of women. It is believed that corporations should be asked to designate a certain portion of high-level roles for females. This essay completely disagrees with this statement because selecting employees should be based on merit and professional skills, not gender. Requiring a specific percentage of female representation could lead to tokenism, where individuals are hired solely based on their gender rather than their qualifications. Moreover, it might discourage talented male candidates who deserve them the most. For example, if a man and a woman apply for the same position, a woman should not have a priority over a man, but a fair selection on merit should be conducted to find out who is the most suitable person for the advertised role, considering skills, abilities, and knowledge. Another reason why I disagree is that the main goal for companies is profit. This is to say that if a company wants to thrive, it needs to have the best possible employees which are not necessarily one gender or the other. If companies were to select staff members on gender, they could end up putting at risk the smooth running of the business and causing financial losses. Therefore, choices should be made by the human resources team only by bearing in mind which candidate would be an asset for the business. For example, in Italy soccer teams are almost exclusively run by men because they usually know more about this business.In conclusion, I strongly believe that companies should not be asked to allocate a certain number of executive positions to women because candidates should be selected considering merit, and profit is the top priority for a business.In recent years, there has been a rise in the popularity of second-hand clothing amongst the younger generation. Why is this happening?Do you think it's a positive or negative development?In recent years, buying used clothes has become popular among youngsters. This is because many adolescents try to be like famous people, and I think it is a positive development because teenagers can save money for other useful things. Many adolescents buy used clothes because they want to be like famous people. That is to say that they need different outfits for any occasion and that is expensive. That is because they cannot cope with the financial burden of buying new clothes from stores, such as Prada or Gucci. As a result, many youngsters buy second-hand clothes. For example, in the United States, many teenagers buy Gucci products in order to wear them and be like their idols. I think it is a positive thing because it shows that teenagers are trying to be like their idols, but it is also a concern because it encourages materialism and peer pressure. If everyone followed a vegetarian diet, it could lead to a significant loss of revenue for the fashion industry, particularly for luxury brands like Gucci. Additionally, the loss of jobs in the meat processing industry could have a negative impact on the economy. On the other hand, following a vegetarian diet has several benefits. Firstly, it is healthier for the body. Vegetarian diets are generally lower in saturated fats and cholesterol, which can reduce the risk of heart disease and other chronic conditions. Secondly, it is more ethical. Many people believe that animals have the right to live and should not be treated as mere commodities for food. By choosing a vegetarian diet, individuals can avoid supporting the animal slaughter industry. Finally, a vegetarian diet is often associated with a longer lifespan and better overall health outcomes. In conclusion, while there are concerns about the impact on the meat industry and the economy, the benefits of a vegetarian diet, including improved health, ethical considerations, and potential longevity, outweigh the disadvantages.

high skills to do it, such as making presentations or translating words from other language and as a result earn money and save it. In conclusion, many adolescents are buying used clothes because they want to be like their idols, and I think it is a positive development because they can use the money they do not spend in useful things.In some countries, it is becoming increasingly common for people to follow a vegetarian diet. Do the advantages of this outweigh the disadvantages?In many countries today, more and more people are following a vegetarian diet. Although it causes a deficiency of important nutrients in the body, I believe that the advantage of a reduction in the number of obese people due to this outweighs any disadvantage it may have.Following a vegetarian diet leads to a lack of vital nutrients. Many vitamins, especially vitamins B12 and B6, are sourced majorly from meat, which is not part of the vegetarian diet. As a result of this, vegetarians will be deficient in these nutrients, thereby predisposing themselves to illnesses associated with the deficiency of these nutrients. For example, according to a report by the health ministry of Brazil, vegetarians in the country account for the highest percentage of pernicious anemia and sensory nervous disorders due to a deficiency of vitamin B12 in their diet. However, I believe that these vitamins and many other nutrients which are absent in vegetarian diets can be gotten from supplements in vitamin tablets.Vegetarian diet causes a decrease in the prevalence of obesity. As obesity is a risk factor for many cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, following a vegetarian diet, which is low in calories and fat, will mean that there will be a decline in the weight of people, leading to a decrease in the prevalence of obesity. To what extent do you agree?Following a vegetarian diet, which is low in calories and fat, will mean that there will be a decline in the weight of people, leading to a decrease in the prevalence of obesity. To what extent do you agree