

Click to verify



R&R, standing for Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility, forms a key technique in the Six Sigma methodology, a disciplined approach focused on eliminating defects and variability in processes. In industries where precision is paramount, the accuracy and reliability of measurement systems are critical. Gage R&R is a key statistical tool designed to evaluate and ensure the effectiveness of these measurement systems. It aims to distinguish between true process variation and measurement error, providing a clear view of the process's actual performance. This distinction is vital, as it impacts the accuracy and reliability of data used for decision-making in Six Sigma processes.

Gage R&R stands for Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility, which is a discipline and data-driven approach to eliminating defects and variation in processes. Gage R&R is a key method in manufacturing and industrial businesses, where precise measurements are important, and the reliability and accuracy of measurement systems play an important role. In short, Gage R&R is a statistical tool designed to evaluate the effectiveness of measurement systems.

Purpose of Gage R&R The primary use of Gage R&R is to quantify the amount of variability within the measurement system itself. When collecting data on the amount of variation in the output of a process, there are two points to consider: The true process variation and the amount of variation observed, there can often be a gap between what you observe and what actually exists. If your measurement system has too much variability or bias, it could mask real problems in your process. By isolating the variability that can be introduced by the measurement system from both the gauge and operator, Gage R&R is able to provide insights into how much total process variability is due to the measurement system rather than the process itself. Understanding this and being able to address gauge variation is key in Six Sigma to ensure accurate data collection and analysis is used in decision-making processes with accurate and reliable data. Gage R&R, a critical tool in Lean Six Sigma, is composed of two main components: repeatability and reproducibility. These concepts help in understanding and quantifying the variability in the measurement system. Repeatability is the variation in measurements taken by the same operator using the same measuring instrument under the same conditions over multiple trials. It essentially evaluates the consistency of the measurement instrument. Key aspects include Consistency Over Time: It checks if the instrument gives consistent results over a period. Instrument Precision: It helps in assessing the precision of the gauge. A high degree of repeatability indicates a precise instrument. Operator's Consistency: Even though it's the same operator, this aspect also subtly checks the operator's ability to consistently use the instrument in the same manner. Reproducibility, in contrast, looks at the variation in measurements when different operators use the same gauge under similar conditions. It involves human factors in the measurement process, such as:

- Operator Differences: Different operators may have slightly different techniques or interpretations when using the same gauge.
- Training and Experience: The level of training and experience of each operator can influence reproducibility.
- Human Error Variability: It helps in identifying how much of the measurement variability is due to human error or differences.

The first step of a Gage R&R study always identifies parts, operators and trials. Part Selection: The goal is to select parts that cover the range of expected variation. Operator Selection: Choose operators who might end up with a set of 15 piston rods for the Gage R&R study. 5 rods at the lower tolerance limit (e.g., length at 99.5 mm) for a tolerance range of 99.5 mm to 100.5 mm rods in the middle of the tolerance range (e.g., length at 100 mm). 5 rods at the upper tolerance limit (e.g., length at 100.5 mm). This selection ensures that the Gage R&R study will provide insights into the measurement system's performance across the full spectrum of parts that are typically encountered in the production of piston rods. By including parts from the lower, middle, and upper ranges of the tolerance spectrum, the study will accurately reflect the measurement system's capability in real-world production scenarios.

Operator Selection When selecting operators, you should consider operators that have different levels of experience and skill. This is to best replicate the real-world scenario where different individuals interact with the measurement system. Ideally, operators who are regular users of the gauge should be selected to ensure the study reflects routine conditions.

Trial Determination For the study, you should also decide on the number of measurements each operator will take on each part. This number should be sufficient to capture variability without being excessive. This number could differ from study to study, but the balance should be between the number of trials necessary for detailed data and the practical limitations of time and resources.

Data Collection Measurement Process The data collection step is the measurement process. A facilitator of the study should set out the parts in a randomized order, and each operator should measure each part multiple times. This should be done in such a way that the operator will not remember the measurement of the part the first time and just repeat the same measurement from memory. These measurements should also be taken under conditions that closely resemble the actual production environment. For example, if it is a production line that only allows 5 seconds to take a measurement, the simulated process should have enough pressure so that the operator must complete the task quickly. To make the simulation more realistic, result and which part the result corresponds to. This data will form the basis of the entire study. For this, we should ensure to record the data in a way clear analysis can be conducted later such as using a table like the one below: Part ID Trial Number Operator A Result Operator B Result Operator C Result Appraised Measurement Lower Limit 199.5999 6899.6799 6L Upper Limit 299.6199 6299.5699 6L Owner Limit 399.5099 5899.6199 6M Middle Range 1100.0610 0699.9810 0 Step 3 : Data Analysis Decompose Variability Next, we need to conduct a statistical analysis using either statistical software or manual methods to decompose the total observed variation. This decomposition usually identifies variations due to the difference between parts, the variation due to the measurement device's repeatability, and the variation due to different operators which its reproducibility. This step should help to identify what are the key elements of the measurement system that are contributing the most to the overall variability. Quantify Contributions Further analysis can be done to calculate the percentage of total process variability attributable to the measurement system. This involves comparing the gauge and operator variability to the total variability observed. Based on these percentages, an understanding can be made about the adequacy of the measurement system. The higher the percentage indicates a need for improvement in the gauge, the measurement process or operator training.

Gage R&R results provide insight into the reliability and accuracy of a measurement system. These results are typically expressed as a percentage, representing the proportion of the total process variation attributed to the measurement system. This variation is divided into repeatability (variation due to the measurement instrument) and reproducibility (variation due to different operators).

Understanding the Percentage Results Less than 10%: If the Gage R&R percentage is below 10%, it suggests that the measurement system introduces relatively little variation compared to the total process variation. This generally indicates acceptable measurement system performance. Between 10-30%: Variation in this range suggests moderate measurement system contribution. While still potentially manageable, it warrants closer scrutiny and investigation into specific sources of variation. More than 30%: High percentages indicate significant measurement system contribution to total variation, suggesting unacceptable measurement system performance. Conversely, in less critical applications, this level of variation might be tolerable. Over 30%: This result indicates that a significant portion of the process variability is due to the measurement system. Such a high level of variation is generally considered unacceptable, indicating that the measurement system is unreliable and could lead to incorrect conclusions about the process.

Based on the findings from the Gage R&R study, specific actions can be undertaken to enhance the measurement system:

- Training for Operators
- Consistency and Technique: Operators might need training to standardize their measurement techniques. Inconsistent methods among different operators can lead to significant reproducibility issues.
- Awareness of Best Practices: Training sessions can also include best practices for handling and using measurement instruments, which can reduce operator-induced variability.
- Calibration or Maintenance of Gauges Regular Calibration: Regular calibration of the measuring instruments is crucial to maintain their accuracy over time.
- Preventative Maintenance: Routine maintenance can help in identifying and correcting issues before they affect measurement accuracy.
- Revising Measurement Procedures or Replacing the System Procedure Review: Sometimes, the measurement procedure itself might be flawed or outdated. Reviewing and updating these procedures can help in reducing measurement variability.
- System Replacement: In cases where the measurement system is fundamentally unreliable (as indicated by a high Gage R&R percentage), replacing it with a more accurate system might be necessary.

Gage R&R studies offer invaluable insights into the measurement system's contribution to overall process variability. By interpreting these results, organizations can determine the reliability and adequacy of their measurement system, leading to improved product quality and reduced waste.

In many manufacturing environments, however, organizations face challenges in achieving optimal measurement system variability. By isolating and addressing measurement system variability, organizations can make more informed decisions, leading to enhanced process control and product quality. Peruchi, R.S., Balestracci, P.P., de Paiva, A.J.R., Ferreira, J.D. and de Santana Carmelossi, M.M., 2013. A new multivariate gage R&R method for correlated characteristics. International Journal of Production Economics, 144(1), pp.301-315. Thanks for your feedback! Gage Repeatability and Reproducibility are often referred to as Gage R&R. It's a method to assess the repeatability and reproducibility of a measurement system. In other words, Gage R&R studies are carried out to discover how much of the process variation is due to the measurement system. Measurement Systems Analysis (MSA) is a tool for analyzing the variation present in each inspection, measurement, and test equipment type. It is the system used to assess the quality of the measurement system. A gage, in this context, is a tool for measurement. A gage could be simple, like calipers and rulers. Or it could be a complex piece of machinery. It could even be a piece of software. Gage R&R focuses on two key aspects of measurement: Repeatability: Repeatability is the variation between successive measurements of the same part or trait by the same person using the same gage. In other words, how much variation do we see in measurements taken by the same person, using the same tool? Reproducibility: Reproducibility is the difference in the average of the measurements made by different people using the same instrument when measuring the identical characteristics on the same part. In other words, how much variation do we see in measurements taken by different people on the same part using the same tool?

Looking at these two metrics helps us understand variation in our measurements. When we understand it, we can combat it. Gage Repeatability and Reproducibility measure the amount of variation in measurements caused by the measurement system. They compare the measured value against the actual value. Think of it like asking "Are neither my calculator nor I responsible for giving me wrong answers?" You're not a member, what are you waiting for? Sign up here!

For example, imagine a situation where our performance metrics show a serious problem in our manufacturing process. We spend a lot of time and money trying to fix it and improve the performance of a process. But we'd have noticed serious measurement variations if we spent some time looking at gage repeatability and reproducibility instead. The problem wasn't in the process at all; it was in the measurements. Checking this first would have saved time, money, and stress. Variation is made up of part and gage variation. Image from Bo-ci-an CC-BY-SA 3.0. Based on the available data and date type, there are basically three types of Gage R&R available: Crossed Gage R&R Select crossed-gage R&R when each operator measures each part, and it must have a balanced design with random factors. It is used for non-destructive testing. Nested Gage R&R Select nested gage R&R when only one operator measures each part. It is used for destructive testing. Since it is not crossed with other factors, it is called nested gage R&R. It must have a balanced design with random factors. Expanded Gage R&R Select expanded gage R&R when we need to include more factors (maximum of eight) than operator and part. Typically crossed and nested deal with only two factors (operator and part). Design can be balanced or unbalanced. There are basically three methods that exist to perform Gage R&R: Range Method Average and range method Analysis of variance method Range Method: The range method will provide a quick approximation of measurement variability but does not compute the measurement system repeatability and reproducibility separately. Average and Range Method: The range and range method provides approximate repeatability, reproducibility, and part variation. It can be performed with the Average and Range method. Analysis of variance method ANOVA method: ANOVA is the most commonly used and accurate means of separating measurement system repeatability and reproducibility. It specifically defines the variability of the interaction between operator and part. Gage R&R cross-gaged R&R cannot be done with the ANOVA method. Instead, it can be done with the ANOVA method. End user data collection based on requirements. Before collecting measurements, collect several measurements from multiple appraisers (operators) on the same parts using the same gage. For each measurement, be sure to note: The part being measured (the person/appraiser) taking the measurement The specific gage used When all measurements have been recorded, analyze the data to identify sources of variation. For example, for Operator A and Part X: Range: Max - Min = 0.233 - 0.29 = 0.04 Mean: (0.29 + 0.31 + 0.33 + 0.32)/4 = 0.3125 Repeat this process for each combination of operator and part. For Operator A: Mean of Ranges: (0.04 + 0.04 + 0.04)/3 = 0.04 Mean of Means: (1.0215 + 0.2875 + 0.2875)/3 = 0.2958 Perform this formula for each trial. Total Mean Range (R): (0.04 + 0.02 + 0.01)/3 = 0.0233 Range of Operator Means (Xdiff): 0.2991 - 0.2958 = 0.0033 Formula: EV = R x Kt. 0.0233 Subgroup size: 4 (number of trials) Number of combinations (g): 3 parts x 3 operators = 9 / 2 = 0.422. 2.080 k1 = 0.3125 + 0.480 * 0.0233 / 0.480 = 0.0112 Formula: AV = Xdiff x K2 Xdiff: 0.003 n(number of parts): 3 r (number of trials): 4 g (number of combinations): 9 d2 (from table): 1.718 k2 = 1 / 1.718 = 0.5820 Note: If the calculated AV is negative (e.g., due to rounding or statistical artifacts), set AV = 0. Add the squared values of EV and AV, then take the square root: Total Gage R&R = sqrt(EV^2 + AV^2) According to the Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG), %GRR guidelines are as follows: Less than 10%: Acceptable (10%-30%) May be acceptable depending on application and cost Greater than 30%: Not acceptable Example: If Total Gage R&R = 1.2%, the system is in the green zone and considered acceptable for most applications. To measure Gage R&R using the ANOVA method, follow these guidelines: Use a minimum of 10 parts per category and robustness. Select two technicians (more). Measure the measurements per part per technician twice. Measurements per part per technician should be collected in random order. Compute the overall average of measurements: K Let = number of technicians SSum = sum of squares of deviations of each technician from the grand mean SSTotal = sum of squares of deviations of each technician from the overall average SSSToal = SSTTechnician + SSPart + SST Technician × SPSPart + SSEquipment This reflects variation due to measurement equipment. It's the sum of squared deviations of individual trial results from the average for the same technician and part: SSEquipment = ΣΣ(xijk - kij)^2 This represents variability from interactions between technicians and parts. It's calculated as the remainder after subtracting other components from the total: SSTechnician×Part = SSTTotal - (SSTechnician + SSPart + SSEquipment) The number of distinct categories is a metric. In gage R&R, the goal is to identify the measurement system's ability to detect a difference in the measured characteristic. It represents the number of non-overlapping confidence intervals that span the range of product variation. Number of distinct categories = (Standard deviation for parts / standard deviation for gage) ^ 2 So, the number of categories depends on the ratio of the variability in the measuring parts and the variability in the measurement system. According to the Automotive Industry Action Group (AIAG), the number of distinct categories should be greater than 5 for an adequate measuring system. >= 5: Adequate measuring system ≈ 2: Data can be divided into two: say Low and High < 2: Data can be divided into three: say Low, Medium, and High < 30%: Provides insight about the types of parts being selected. It's telling us that the measurement tool cannot effectively decipher if the part is good or bad, because too much measurement system variability is obscuring the signal. Below 30%: Indicates poor discrimination power. Too much measurement system variability is masking the true process variation. Interpretation: Investigate causes of variation. Software: Our SPC