

Continue



A 2007 Jeep Cherokee

A 2007 Ford Explorer

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

A 2007 Toyota Land Cruiser

This website is administered by Oak Ridge National Laboratory for the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. This website is administered by Oak Ridge National Laboratory for the U.S. DOE and the U.S. EPA. Type of automobile For others, see SUV (disambiguation). This article has multiple issues. Please help improve it or discuss these issues on the talk page. (Learn how and when to remove these messages) The examples and perspective in this article deal primarily with the United States and do not represent a worldwide view of the subject. You may improve this article, discuss the issue on the talk page, or create a new article, as appropriate. (December 2023) (Learn how and when to remove this message) This article needs more complete citations for verification. Please help add missing citation information so that sources are clearly identifiable. (July 2020) (Learn how and when to remove this message) 1997–2001 Jeep Cherokee compact SUV2020–2024 Kia Mohave mid-size SUV2022 Toyota Land Cruiser large SUV A sport utility vehicle (SUV) is a car classification that combines elements of road-going passenger cars with features from off-road vehicles, such as raised ground clearance and four-wheel drive. There is no commonly agreed-upon definition of an SUV, and usage of the term varies between countries. Thus, it is "a loose term that traditionally covers a broad range of vehicles with four-wheel drive." [1] Some definitions claim that an SUV must be built on a light truck chassis; however, broader definitions consider any vehicle with off-road design features to be an SUV. A crossover SUV is often defined as an SUV built with a unibody construction (as with passenger cars); however, the designations are increasingly blurred because of the capabilities of the vehicles, the labelling by marketers, and the electrification of new models.[2] The predecessors to SUVs date back to military and low-volume models from the late 1930s, and the four-wheel-drive station wagons and carryalls that began to appear in 1949. Some SUVs produced today use unibody construction; however, in the past, most SUVs used body-on-frame construction. During the late 1990s and early 2000s, the popularity of SUVs significantly increased, often at the expense of the popularity of lower-seated station wagons. SUVs accounted for 45.5% of the world's passenger car market in 2021.[3] SUVs have been criticized for a variety of environmental and safety-related reasons. They generally have poorer fuel efficiency and require more resources to manufacture than smaller vehicles, contributing more to climate change and environmental degradation.[4] (Between 2010 and 2018, SUVs were the second-largest contributor to the global increase in carbon emissions worldwide.[5] Their higher center of gravity increases their risk of rollovers. Their higher front-end profile makes them at least twice as likely to kill pedestrians they hit.[6][7][8] Additionally, the psychological sense of security they provide influences drivers to drive less cautiously.[9] and may in-turn, cause others with smaller vehicles to opt for SUVs in the future under the sense of security, all the while increasing the rate of fatalities of pedestrians.[10][11][12] There is no universally accepted definition of the sport utility vehicle.[13] Dictionaries, automotive experts, and journalists use varying wordings and defining characteristics, in addition to regional variations of usage by both the media and the general public. The auto industry also has not settled on one definition of the SUV.[13] Automotive websites' descriptions of SUVs range from specifically "combining car-like appointments and wagon practicality with steadfast off-road capability" with "chair-height seats and picture-window visibility"[14] to the more general "nearly anything with available all-wheel drive and raised ground clearance".[15] It is also suggested that the term "SUV" has replaced "jeep" as a general term for off-road vehicles.[16] American dictionary definitions for SUVs include: "rugged automotive vehicle similar to a station wagon but built on a light-truck chassis"[17] "automobile similar to a station wagon but built on a light truck frame"[18] "large vehicle that is designed to be used on rough surfaces but that is often used on city roads or highways"[17] "passenger vehicle similar to a station wagon but with the chassis of a small truck and, usually, four-wheel drive"[19] In British English, the terms "4x4" (pronounced "four-by-four"), "jeep", four wheel drive, or "off-road vehicle" are generally used instead of "sport utility vehicle" (citation needed) The sardonic term "Cherry red tractor" is also commonly used to the perceived popularity of the vehicles with urban residents (Chelsea, London, and their likeness to vehicles used by farmers.[20] The Collins English Dictionary defines a sport utility vehicle as a "powerful vehicle with four-wheel drive that can be driven over rough ground. The abbreviation SUV is often used." [21] In Europe, the term SUV is generally used for road-oriented vehicles, described as "J-segment" by the European Commission.[22][23] [24] "Four-by-four" or the brand name of the vehicle is typically used for off-road-oriented vehicles. Similarly, in New Zealand, vehicles designed for off-road use are typically referred to as "four-wheel drives" instead of SUVs. In the United States, many government regulations simply have categories for "off-highway vehicles" which are loosely defined and often result in SUVs (along with pickup trucks and minivans) being classified as light trucks.[13][25] For example, corporate average fuel economy (CAFE) regulations previously included "permit greater cargo-carrying capacity than passenger carrying volume" in the definition for trucks, resulting in cars with removable rear seats, like the PT Cruiser, being classified as light trucks.[26] This classification as trucks allowed SUVs to be regulated less strictly than passenger cars under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act for fuel economy, and the Clean Air Act for emissions.[27] However, from 2004 onwards, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) began to hold sport utility vehicles to the same tailpipe emissions standards as cars for criteria pollutants, though not greenhouse gas emissions standards as they were not set until 2010.[28][29] In 2011, the CAFE regulations were changed to classify small, two-wheel-drive SUVs as passenger cars.[30] However, the licensing and traffic enforcement regulations in the United States vary from state to state, and an SUV may be classified as a car in some states but as a truck in others.[31] For industry production statistics, SUVs are counted in the light truck product segment.[32] In India, all SUVs are classified in the "Utility Vehicle" category per the Society of Indian Automobile Manufacturers (SIAM) definitions and carry a 27% excise tax.[33] Those that are 4 metres (13 feet) long, have 1,500 cc (92 cu in) engine or larger, along with 170 mm (6.7 in) of ground clearance, are subject to a 30% excise duty.[34] In Australia, SUV sales were helped by having lower import duties than passenger cars. Up until January 2010, SUVs were subject to a 5% import tariff, compared with 10% for passenger cars.[35][36] In February 2024, voters in Paris mandated a triple parking charge rate for SUVs, citing environmental impact and street capacity; this followed similar decisions in Lyon and Tübingen with similar ordinances being considered by London, Brussels and Amsterdam.[37] 1955–1958 GAZ M-72 Pobeda1977–1993 Lada Niva Many years after most passenger cars had transitioned to unibody construction, most SUVs continued to use a separate body-on-frame method, due to being based on the chassis from a light truck, commercial vehicle, pickup truck, or off-road vehicle. The first mass-produced unibody four-wheel-drive passenger car was the Russian 1955 GAZ-M20 Pobeda M-72.[38][39] which could be considered the first crossover car. The 1977 Lada Niva was the first off-road vehicle to use both a unibody construction and a coil-sprung independent front suspension. The relatively compact Niva is considered a predecessor to the crossover SUV and combines a hatchback-like passenger car body with full-time four-wheel drive, low-range gearing, and lockable center differential. Nonetheless, unibody SUVs remained rare until the 1984 Jeep Cherokee (XJ) was introduced and became a sales success. The introduction of the 1993 Jeep Grand Cherokee resulted in many of Jeep's SUV models using unibody construction,[40] with many other brands following suit since the mid-1990s. Today, most SUVs in production use a unibody construction and relatively few models continue to use body-on-frame construction. SUVs are typically of a two-box design similar to a station wagon. The engine compartment is in the front, followed by a combined passenger/cargo area (unlike a sedan, which has a separate trunk/boot compartment). Up until approximately 2010, many SUV models were available in two-door body styles.[41] Since then, manufacturers began to discontinue the two-door models as the four-door models became more popular.[42][43][44] A few two-door SUVs remain available, such as the body-on-frame Suzuki Vitara. Mahindra Thar, Toyota Land Cruiser Prado, Ford Bronco,[45] and Jeep Wrangler[46][47] as well as the Range Rover Evoque crossover SUV. Further information: Criticism of sport utility vehicles Side impact damage on a Ford Focus small car. The majority of Explorer SUVs typically have higher ground clearance than a tall hatchback. Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs are exempted from U.S. regulation stating that a passenger car bumper must protect the area between 16 and 20 inches (41 and 51 cm) above the ground. This often increases the damage to the other car in a collision with an SUV, because the impact occurs at a higher location on the other car.[55][56] In 2000–2011, 60% of fatal side-impact collisions were where the other vehicle was an SUV, an increase from 30% in 1980–1981.[57] The introduction of electronic stability control (ESC) and rollover mitigation, as well as increased analysis of the risks of a rollover, led the IIHS to report in 2015 that "the rollover death rate of 5 per million registered vehicle years for 2011 models is less than a quarter of what it was for 2004 models. With ESC dramatically reducing rollover risk, the inherent advantages offered by SUVs' greater size, weight, and height emerge more clearly."

Today's SUVs have the lowest driver death rate of any vehicle type.[58] The high ground clearance for cyclists and pedestrians is being seriously injured or even killed by SUV drivers has caused some public protests against SUVs in urban areas.[59] In 2020, a study by the U.S.-based IIHS found that, of a sample of 79 crashes from three urban areas in the United States, 10% of the vehicles involved were SUVs. The study found that SUVs were more likely to be involved in crashes than other vehicles, resulting in increased harm to passengers.[48][50] Between 1991 and 2001, the United States saw a 150% increase in sport-utility vehicle rollover deaths. In 2001, though rollovers constituted just 3% of vehicle crashes overall, they caused over 30% of occupant fatalities in crashes.[48] and in crashes where the vehicle did roll over, SUV occupants in the early 2000s were nearly three times as likely to be killed as other car passengers.[48] Vehicles with a high center of gravity do sometimes fail the moose test of maneuverability conducted by Swedish consumer magazine *Teknikens Värld*, for example, the 1997 Mercedes-Benz A-Class and 2011 Jeep Grand Cherokee.[51] The increasing popularity of SUVs in the 1990s and early 2000s was partly due to buyers perceiving that SUVs provide greater safety for occupants, due to their larger size and raised ride height.[48][52][53][54] Regarding the safety of other road users, SUVs

ReportData-backed trends. Generative AI demos. Answers to your usage rights questions. Our original video podcast covers it all—now on demand.Watch Now The 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD has an estimated 22.0 MPG combined. This regular gasoline-powered vehicle offers 20.0 MPG in the city and 25.0 MPG on the highway. Check out its full EPA-estimated fuel economy data below.This sport utility vehicle - 4wd features a 2.4L 4-cylinder engine, paired with a automatic (variable gear ratios) transmission and 4-wheel or all-wheel drive drivetrain.To achieve the best fuel economy in your 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD, consider these tips:Maintain steady speeds and avoid rapid accelerationKeep tires properly inflated to manufacturer specificationsRemove excess weight and roof cargo when not neededFollow recommended maintenance schedulesThe 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD with auto (s6) has an estimated 19.0 MPG combined. This auto (s6) regular gasoline-powered vehicle offers 17.0 MPG in the city and 23.0 MPG on the highway.This sport utility vehicle - 4wd with auto (s6) features a 3.0L 6-cylinder engine and 4-wheel or all-wheel drive drivetrain.These vehicles are in the same class (Sport Utility Vehicle - 4WD) and offer comparable fuel economy ratings. On this page, you will find a complete guide to the miles per gallon and fuel efficiency data for the 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD. The 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD has 2 model options: Automatic (variable gear ratios) 4-cyl, 2.4 L and Automatic (S6) 6-cyl, 3.0 L. The 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD Automatic (variable gear ratios) 4-cyl, 2.4 L runs on regular gasoline and is in the Sport Utility Vehicle - 4WD car class. The 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD Automatic (S6) 6-cyl, 3.0 L runs on regular gasoline and is in the Sport Utility Vehicle - 4WD car class. How Many Miles per Gallon (MPG) Does a 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD Get? Across all models, the combined average MPG for the 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD is 21 miles per gallon. The table below breaks down the MPG for each model option: Model Option Combined MPG City MPG Highway MPG Automatic (variable gear ratios) 4-cyl, 2.4 L 22 20 25 Automatic (S6) 6-cyl, 3.0 L 19 17 23 What is the Average Yearly Fuel Cost for a 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD? The average fuel costs for all models of the 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD is \$3,075. The average fuel costs have been estimated by government regulators based on 15,000 miles driven per year, using regular gasoline, and a split of 55% city driving and 45% highway driving. Comparing a 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD to an average vehicle over 5 years, you will spend \$3,875 more on fuel. The table below shows the fuel costs and 5-year save/spend for each model option: Model Option Fuel Cost 5-Year Save/Spend Automatic (variable gear ratios) 4-cyl, 2.4 L \$2,850 spend \$2,750 more Automatic (S6) 6-cyl, 3.0 L \$3,300 spend \$5,000 more How Many Barrels of Petroleum Does a 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD Consume? Across all models, the average number barrels of petroleum that the 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD will consume in one year is 15 barrels. The majority of the world's petroleum is sourced from countries like Saudi Arabia, Russia, Iraq, and the United States. Model Option Barrels Automatic (variable gear ratios) 4-cyl, 2.4 L 14 Automatic (S6) 6-cyl, 3.0 L 16 Overview The tables below cover all of the miles per gallon, engine specifications, and emission details we have for the 2 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD model options. Automatic (variable gear ratios) 4-cyl, 2.4 L The table below contains all of the details we have for the 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD Automatic (variable gear ratios) 4-cyl, 2.4 L: City MPG 20 Highway MPG 25 Combined MPG 22 Save/Spend vs Average Car Spend \$2,750 Fuel Cost \$2,850 Fuel Type 1 Regular Barrels of Petroleum 14 Drive 4-Wheel or All-Wheel Drive Cylinders 4 Transmission Automatic (variable gear ratios) Vehicle Class Sport Utility Vehicle - 4WD Start/Stop? No Supercharged? No Turbocharged? No Automatic (S6) 6-cyl, 3.0 L The table below contains all of the details we have for the 2009 Mitsubishi Outlander 4WD Automatic (S6) 6-cyl, 3.0 L: City MPG 17 Highway MPG 23 Combined MPG 19 Save/Spend vs Average Car Spend \$5,000 Fuel Cost \$3,300 Fuel Type 1 Regular Barrels of Petroleum 16 Drive 4-Wheel or All-Wheel Drive Cylinders 6 Transmission Automatic (S6) Vehicle Class Sport Utility Vehicle - 4WD Start/Stop? No Supercharged? No Turbocharged? No Disclaimer Ratings were provided by the manufacturer to the U.S. Department of Energy, which is where we sourced the data. Be advised that manufacturers may have upgraded, downgraded, or changed these ratings following the compilation of this data. MPG Buddy does not guarantee the accuracy of this data, nor are we liable for any decisions made by referencing this data. Make sure to contact the specific car manufacturer to confirm accuracy. Link To or Reference This Page We spend a lot of time collecting, cleaning, merging, and formatting the data that is shown on the site to be as useful to you as possible. If you found the data or information on this page useful in your research, please use the tool below to properly cite or reference MPG Buddy as the source. We appreciate your support!